

# Evaluating WB-57F and ER-2 MMS measurement confidence

NASA Ames Research Center

T. Paul Bui, S. Bowen, C. Chang, J. Dean-Day, L. Pfister,  
R. Castaneda, P. Shulman

## Abstract

As an evaluation of data integrity, meteorological data from two aircraft during straight and level, wingtip-formation flight are compared for measurements of mean ambient pressure, temperature, and winds.

## Intercomparison Details

### Coordinated flight leg:

- Data are from the Meteorological Measurement System on the NASA WB57 and ER2
- Average horizontal separation of 100 m near 25°N latitude, 84°W longitude
- Vertical separation of 2-3 m near 16 km altitude and at about 300° heading
- Time duration approximately 600-sec between 64850-65450 utc

### Both aircraft have the follow similarities:

- GPS Updated ring-laser Inertial Navigation System
- Satellite coverage of Differential GPS receivers (LandStar from RACAL)
- Temperature compensated quartz digital pressure sensors (ParoScientific)
- Platinum wire temperature probe (Rosemount 102E4AL)
- De-iced pitot probe
- PC104 based data acquisition system (16-bit A/D, 1553B, RS422, 300-Hz)
- NIST traceable calibration of pressure, temperature, and analog measurements

### System differences:

- Fuselage mounted 858Y air motion sensing probes are used on the WB-57F.
- The ER-2 air motion sensor is a flush radome differential pressure system (pressure ports in cruciform pattern).
- The WB-57F has a non-standard nose boom for the PALMS instrument.
- The ER-2 has a non-standard Doppler Radar nose cone.
- The ER-2 MMS has dedicated (not shared) static pressure ports
- The WB-57F MMS static pressure source is shared with aircraft avionics and other connections (NAV Recorder, PT instrument, drainage)

## Summary

Meteorological data intercomparison results show good agreement between the two aircraft

Measurement differences are within the instrument uncertainty:

WB57 pressure is higher	0.4 mb
WB57 temperature warmer	0.2 K
Horizontal wind speed	0.5 m/s
Vertical wind speed	± 0.3 m/s and 0.1 m/s precision

## Further comparison

Evaluate variances and spectra to determine frequency response  
Intercompare cospectra and fluxes  
Intercompare with data from balloon sondes and GPS dropsondes

